

ExQ	Question to:	Question:	Answer:
1.0	General and Cross-Cutting Questions		
1.0.2.	BDC HBBC Parish Councils	<p>Neighbourhood Plans</p> <p>a) BDC has provided a copy of the latest version of the Fosse Villages Neighbourhood Plan [REP3-088] which is understood is awaiting the Examiner's Report. Could BDC provide updates as matters progress.</p> <p>b) Could BDC, HBBC and the Parish Councils please provide details of any other designated Neighbourhood planning areas both within the area covered by the Application site and any area which the local planning authority considers to be affected by the Proposed Development, along with current details of progress towards any such Neighbourhood Plans being made. Where documents exist, could copies please be provided.</p> <p>c) Should the status of any such plan change during the Examination, could the relevant local planning authority please update the Examination at the next deadline.</p>	Burbage Neighbourhood Plan has been provided from Burbage Parish Council, by separate submission.
1.0.3.	All parties	<p>Covid-19 pandemic</p> <p>a) Does any party have any view as to whether the Covid-19 pandemic has had any material implication as to how the Proposed Development should be considered, particularly in relation to demand and trends in all aspects of the submission following the pandemic?</p> <p>b) If so, they should explain why they hold that view, evidenced where possible.</p> <p>Note: This is a separate matter to the question asked of the Applicant in the Rule 17 letter of 22 September 2022 [PD-007] which was responded at D2 [REP2-077] by the Applicant. The Applicant does not need to respond further, but other IPs may respond both to this question and the D2 response.</p>	<p>Burbage Parish Council has no doubt the Covid-19 pandemic will have had an impact upon forecasting trends, some of which will have been dramatically changed during the pandemic due to the very likely unique set of social disruptions experienced during the pandemic. These will have in, our opinion, impacted:</p> <p>a] Shopping habits and resulting logistics changes</p> <p>b] Working practices such as working at home and the impact on the traffic flows and rail journeys</p> <p>These changes could have influenced the data gathered to support this application, which assessments needing to made as to whether the changes will endure long into the future, or whether the trends will return to pre-Covid-19 expectations. We are able to point to specific evidence to support these opinions, however, we believe The Applicant should provide robust evidence as to how such impacts or potential impacts have been investigated.</p>
1.0.4.	All parties	<p>Equality Impact Assessment</p> <p>Could all interested parties provide the Examination with their views as to how the Proposed Development would affect any person with any protected characteristics set out in section 4 of the Equality Act and whether it would (in line with s149 of this Act):</p> <p>a) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;</p> <p>b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;</p> <p>c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.</p>	Burbage Parish has no specific comment on this point.

ExQ	Question to:	Question:	Answer:
1.0.16	All Parties	<p>Energy Generation</p> <p>a) All parties are offered the opportunity to make representations relating to the energy aspects of the Proposed Development following the publication by the Government of the suite of Energy NPSs in November 2023.</p> <p>b) The Applicant is asked for its comments in light of footnotes 80 and 92 of EN-3 and their implications for the Proposed Development.</p> <p>c) The Applicant is asked to signpost how the proposed photovoltaic arrays are to be secured and delivered (ie to ensure any effects of them are taken into account).</p> <p>d) The Applicant is also asked to estimate the current maximum energy generation that could be secured from the rooftop delivery of photovoltaic cells within the Proposed Development based on current technology (measured in alternating current (AC)). This answer should ignore any legislative restrictions on the amount of energy that could be produced.</p>	Burbage Parish Council would be very concerned if the 'bureaucracy' of major energy generating schemes provides an artificial limitation on the planned provision of the number of photovoltaic cells installed on the roofs of warehouses. Should this 'national infrastructure' proceed, we consider it essential that an economic, green or social benefits which can be delivered as a byproduct of such a large development should be maximised and not limited by non-physical considerations.
1.4.	Cultural Heritage		
1.4.2.	The Applicant and Interested Parties	<p>Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023</p> <p>Are there any implications for the proposed development on cultural heritage assets as a result of Section 102 of the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023? If you consider there are, please set out your analysis for consideration.</p>	Burbage Parish Council has no specific comment on this point.
1.5.	Draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) [REP2-003] & Explanatory Memorandum [REP2-012]		
1.5.1.	Statutory Party, Statutory Undertaker and other IPs who were not present at ISH1 and/or ISH5	<p>ISH1 and Annex</p> <p>Issue Specific Hearing 1 (ISH1) on the dDCO was held on Wednesday 13 September 2023. Annex F to the Rule 6 letter [PD-005] and Annex F(i) provided a set of questions on dDCO drafting, on which oral submissions were sought from invited IPs in order to enable an early start to be made in the Examination on the ExA's dDCO drafting observations. The dDCO was also considered at Issue Specific Hearing 5 (ISH5) on 3 November 2023.</p> <p>IPs participating in the hearing were requested to make written submissions on matters raising in the hearing (including the content of Agenda Item 5 and the Annex F(i) questions) at Deadline 1. To the extent that they have already done so, such IPs do not need to respond to this question. However, this question does seek responses to the Annex F(i) questions from those who have not done so to date and from any Statutory Party and Statutory Undertaker IPs that did not participate orally in ISH1 or ISH5 or make written submissions on the matters questioned there at Deadline 1.</p> <p>Responses should address the questions in Annex F(i), but recognising that the Applicant has made changes to the dDCO in part to address these matters since ISH1 was held, intending respondents should review the latest version of the dDCO in tracked changes [REP2-003] and the latest Schedule of Changes to the dDCO [REP2-014] before doing so.</p>	Burbage Parish Council has no specific comment on this point.
1.11.	Traffic and Transport		

ExQ	Question to:	Question:	Answer:
1.11.5.	Interested Parties	TA – Part 5 [APP-142] – Trip Distribution Table 3 uses the Census Occupational Categories and sets those 'in scope'. Do IPs consider that this is appropriate given that managerial staff, some of whom may work in the office elements, have been excluded?	Burbage Parish has no specific comment on this point.